

A GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY COMPACTIFICATION OF $M_{g,n}$ VIA THE FULTON-MACPHERSON CONFIGURATION SPACE

RAHUL PANDHARIPANDE¹

10 May 1995

0. INTRODUCTION

In [F-M], a compactification of the configuration space of n marked points on an algebraic variety is defined. For a nonsingular curve X of genus $g \geq 2$, the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space of X (quotiented by the automorphism group of X) is isomorphic to the (reduced) fiber of $\gamma : \overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ over $[X] \in M_g$. Since the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space is defined for singular varieties, it is natural to ask whether a compactification of $\gamma^{-1}(M_g)$ can be obtained over $\overline{M}_g$. First, we consider the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space for families of varieties. This relative construction is then applied to the universal curve over the Hilbert scheme of 10-canonical, genus $g \geq 2$ curves. Following results of Gieseker, it is shown there exist linearizations of the natural SL -action on the relative configuration space of the universal curve that yield G.I.T. quotients compactifying $\gamma^{-1}(M_g)$. These new compactifications, $M_{g,n}^c$, are described. For $n = 1$, $M_{g,1}^c$ and the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{M}_{g,1}$ coincide. For $n = 2$, $M_{g,2}^c$ and $\overline{M}_{g,2}$ are isomorphic on open sets with codimension 2 complements. $M_{g,2}^c$ and $\overline{M}_{g,2}$ differ essentially by the birational modification corresponding to the two minimal resolutions of an ordinary threefold double point. For higher n , the compactifications $M_{g,n}^c$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ differ more substantially.

Thanks are due to J. Harris for mathematical guidance. The author has benefited from many discussions with him.

1. RELATIVE FULTON-MACPHERSON CONFIGURATION SPACES

1.1. Terminology. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the ground field of complex numbers. A morphism $\mu : X \rightarrow Y$ is an *immersion* if μ is an isomorphism of X onto an open subset of a

¹Research supported by an NSF Graduate Fellowship.

closed subvariety of Y . A morphism γ is *quasi-projective* if it factors as $\gamma = \rho \circ \mu$ where μ is an open immersion and ρ is projective. The only smooth morphisms considered will be smooth morphisms of relative dimension k between nonsingular varieties.

1.2. Definition. We carry out the construction of Fulton and MacPherson in the relative context. Suppose $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a (separated) morphism of algebraic varieties. Let n be a positive integer. $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Wherever possible, products will be taken in the category of varieties over B . Define:

$$F_B^N = \prod_N F = \underbrace{F \times_B F \times_B \dots \times_B F}_n .$$

And define:

$$(F_B^N)_0 = F_B^N \setminus \left(\bigcup \Delta_{\{a,b\}} \right)$$

Where $\Delta_{\{a,b\}}$ denotes the large diagonal corresponding to the indices $a, b \in N$ and the union is over all pairs $\{a, b\}$ of distinct element of N . For each subset S of N define $F_B^S = \prod_S F$. Following the notation of [F-M], let $Bl_\Delta(F_B^S)$ denote the blow-up of F_B^S along the small diagonal. There exists a natural immersion:

$$(1) \quad (F_B^N)_0 \subset F_B^N \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} Bl_\Delta(F_B^S) .$$

The relative Fulton-MacPherson configuration space of n marked points of F over B , $F_B[n]$, is defined to be the closure of $(F_B^N)_0$ in the above product. When B is a point, this definition coincides with that of [F-M]. Consider the composition:

$$(2) \quad F_B[n] \subset F_B^N \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} Bl_\Delta(F_B^S) \xrightarrow{\mu} F_B^N \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} (F_B^S) \xrightarrow{\beta} F_B^N$$

where μ is the natural blow-down morphism and β is the projection on the first factor. Since μ is a projective morphism and $F_B[n]$ is a closed subvariety,

$$\mu : F_B[n] \rightarrow \mu(F_B[n])$$

is also projective. Since $\beta : \mu(F_B[n]) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_B^N$ is an isomorphism, the morphism $\rho = \beta \circ \mu$

$$\rho : F_B[n] \rightarrow F_B^N$$

is projective. For our purposes, we shall only consider the case where $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-projective morphism. Also, we will be mainly interested in the case where F and F_B^N are irreducible varieties.

1.3. The Blow-Up Construction. Consider again the birational projective morphism

$$\rho : F_B[n] \rightarrow F_B^N$$

It is natural to inquire whether ρ can be expressed as a composition of explicit blow-ups along canonical subvarieties. In [F-M], such a blow-up construction is given for the configuration space in case B is a point. The blow-ups in [F-M] are canonical in the following sense: if $Y \rightarrow X$ is an immersion, the sequence of blow-ups resolving $Y[n] \rightarrow Y^N$ is the sequence of strict transformations of Y^N under the blow-ups resolving $X[n] \rightarrow X^N$.

The blow-up construction of Fulton and MacPherson is valid in the relative context. We now assume that $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-projective morphism. In this case, there exists a factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{P}^r \times B \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ B & \xlongequal{\quad} & B \end{array}$$

where i is an immersion. We use the notation $\mathbf{P}^r \times B = \mathbf{P}_B^r$ and drop extra B subscripts when the meaning is clear. For example, $(\mathbf{P}_B^r)^N$ instead of $(\mathbf{P}_B^r)_B^N$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (F_B^N)_0 & \longrightarrow & F_B^N \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} Bl_{\Delta}(F_B^S) \\ \downarrow i^N & & \downarrow i^{Bl} \\ (\mathbf{P}_B^r)_0^N & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}_B^r)^N \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} Bl_{\Delta}((\mathbf{P}_B^r)^S) \end{array}$$

where i^N, i^{Bl} are immersions. We conclude from diagram (3) that $F_B[n]$ is immersed in $\mathbf{P}_B^r[n]$. Hence:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_B[n] & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbf{P}_B^r[n] \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \eta \\ F_B^N & \xrightarrow{i^N} & (\mathbf{P}_B^r)^N \end{array}$$

where i^N, j are immersions. Since ρ is a projective morphism, $j(F_B[n])$ is closed in $\eta^{-1}(i^N(F_B^N))$. $F_B[n]$ is therefore the strict transformation of F_B^N under η . It is clear the following diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_B^r[n] & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{P}^r[n] \times B \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \gamma \times id \\ (\mathbf{P}_B^r)^N & \xlongequal{\quad} & (\mathbf{P}^r)^N \times B \end{array}$$

In [F-M], an explicit and canonical blow-up construction of γ is given. By extending each exceptional locus over the base B , a blow-up construction of η is obtained. We

see from diagram (4) that a blow-up construction of $F_B[n]$ exists by taking the strict transformation of F_B^N at each blow-up of $(\mathbf{P}_B^r)^N$.

1.4. Comparing $F_b[n]$ and $F_B[n]_b$. For a given $b \in B$ let F_b denote the fiber of π over b . From equation (1) and the definitions, it is clear there exists a natural closed immersion:

$$F_b[n] \xrightarrow{i_b} F_B[n]_b.$$

It is possible for i_b to be a proper inclusion. Examples of this behavior will be seen in section (3). We have the following:

Proposition 1. *If B is irreducible and $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a smooth, quasi-projective morphism of nonsingular varieties, then for every $b \in B$, i_b is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose X is a fixed nonsingular algebraic variety. In [F-M], the canonical construction of $X[n]$ is given by a sequence of explicit blow-ups of X^N along nonsingular centers. In the previous section, it was shown how the construction of [F-M] could be lifted to the relative context. Let m be the number of blow-ups needed in the Fulton- MacPherson construction resolving $\rho : F_B[n] \rightarrow F_B^N$. Let $F_{B,j}^N$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ denote the j^{th} stage. $F_{B,0}^N = F_B^N$ and $F_{B,m}^N = F_B[n]$. Since the blow-up construction in [F-M] is canonical, for any variety X similar definitions can be made. We show inductively, for each $b \in B$, the natural inclusion:

$$(5) \quad F_{b,j}^N \hookrightarrow (F_{B,j}^N)_b$$

is an isomorphism. For $j = 0$ the assertion is clear. The induction step rests on a simple **Claim**:

Suppose S is an irreducible nonsingular variety, $R \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism, and $T \hookrightarrow R$ is a closed immersion smooth over S . Then, for any $s \in S$, the blow-up of R_s along T_s is naturally isomorphic to the fiber over s of the blow-up of R along T . Since all spaces are nonsingular, the assertion follows from examining normal directions of T in R ; the various smoothnesses imply all normal directions are represented in the fiber.

Assume equation (5) is an isomorphism for all $b \in B$. Since π is smooth of relative dimension k , F_b and $F_{b,j}^N$ are nonsingular of pure dimensions k , nk . Hence, $(F_{B,j}^N)_b$ is nonsingular of pure dimension nk . Also, every irreducible component of F_B^N (and hence $F_{B,j}^N$) is of relative dimension nk . The last two facts imply the morphism:

$$\pi_j^N : F_{B,j}^N \rightarrow B$$

is smooth. Examination of the $(j+1)^{th}$ center is straightforward. Because of the assumed isomorphism (5) and the knowledge that the [F-M] construction of the configuration space of a nonsingular variety over a point only involves nonsingular centers, we see that the $(j+1)^{th}$ center is smooth over B . The above claim now proves the induction step. $\square$

1.5. Universal Families. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety. Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be n ordered points of X . A subset $S \subset N$ is said to be coincident at $z \in X$ if $|S| \geq 2$ and for all $i \in S$, $x_i = z$. Following [F-M], for every S coincident at z , we define a *screen* of S at z to be an equivalence class of the data $(t_i)_{i \in S}$ where:

- (1) $t_i \in T_z$, the tangent space of X at z .
- (2) $\exists i, j \in S$ such that $t_i \neq t_j$.

Two data sets $(t_i)_{i \in S}$ and $(t'_i)_{i \in S}$ are equivalent if there exists $\lambda \in C^*$ and $v \in T_z$ so that for all $i \in S$, $\lambda \cdot t_i + v = t'_i$. A screen shows the tangential separation of infinitely near points. An n -tuple $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ together with a screen Q_S for each coincident subset $S \subset N$ constitute an *n -pointed stable class* in X if the screens are compatible in the following sense. Suppose $S_1 \subset S_2$ are two subsets coincident at z where Q_{S_2} is represented by the data $(t_j)_{j \in S_2}$. If there exist $k, \hat{k} \in S_1$ so that $t_k \neq t_{\hat{k}}$, then $(t_j)_{j \in S_1}$ defines a screen for S_1 . The compatibility condition requires that when this restriction of Q_{S_2} is defined, it equals Q_{S_1} . For a nonsingular space X , $X[n]$ is the parameter space of n -pointed stable classes in X . Given an n -pointed stable class in X , an *n -pointed stable degeneration* of X can be constructed (up to isomorphism) as follows. Let $z \in X$ occur with multiplicity in $\bar{x}$. Blow-up X at z and attach a $\mathbf{P}(T_z \oplus \mathbf{1})$ in the natural way along the exceptional divisor at z . Note that $\mathbf{P}(T_z \oplus \mathbf{1})$ minus the hyperplane at infinity, $\mathbf{P}(T_z)$, is naturally isomorphic to the affine space T_z . Let $S_z \subset N$ be the maximal subset coincident at z . The screen Q_{S_z} associates (up to equivalence) points of T_z to the indices that lie in S_z . Condition (2) of the screen data implies some separation of the marked points has occurred. The further screens specify in a natural way (up to equivalence of screens) the further blow-ups and markings required to separate the marked points. The final space obtained along with n distinct marked points is the n -pointed stable degeneration associated to the given n -pointed stable class. See [F-M] for further details.

It is shown in [F-M] that if X is an nonsingular variety, there exists a universal family of n -pointed stable degenerations of X over $X[n]$. Let $X[n]^+$ denote this universal family. $X[n]^+$ is equipped with the following maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X[n]^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X[n] \times X \\ \downarrow \mu_p & & \downarrow \\ X[n] & \xlongequal{\quad} & X[n] \end{array}$$

There are n sections of μ_p , $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in N}$:

$$X[n] \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} X[n]^+.$$

For any $d \in X[n]$, the fiber $\mu_p^{-1}(d)$ along with the n -tuple $(\sigma_1(d), \dots, \sigma_n(d))$ is the n -pointed stable degeneration of X associated to the n -pointed stable class corresponding to d .

We note here that if C is a nonsingular automorphism-free curve, n -pointed stable classes in C correspond bijectively to isomorphism classes of n -pointed Deligne-Mumford stable curves over C . Moreover, the universal family over $C[n]$ defines a map to the reduced fiber

$$\phi : C[n] \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}([C])$$

where $\gamma : \overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$. Since ϕ is proper bijective and both spaces are normal, ϕ is an isomorphism. If C has a finite automorphism group, A , we see A acts on $C[n]$ and ϕ is A -invariant. Therefore ϕ descends to the quotient

$$\phi(C[n]/A) \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}([C]).$$

It is not hard to see that this map is proper bijective and hence an isomorphism by normality.

The map μ is a birational morphism and can be expressed as an explicit sequence of blow-ups of $X[n] \times X$ along canonical, nonsingular loci. Canonical here has the same meaning as in section (1.3) : if $Y \rightarrow X$ is an immersion of nonsingular varieties, the blow-up sequence resolving $Y[n]^+ \rightarrow Y[n] \times Y$ is the strict transform of $Y[n] \times Y$ under the blow-up sequence resolving $X[n]^+ \rightarrow X[n] \times X$. Moreover, the sections of $Y[n]^+ \rightarrow Y[n]$ are restrictions of the sections of $X[n]^+ \rightarrow X[n]$. This canonical blow-up construction is given in [F-M].

1.6. Relative Universal Families. Suppose $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a smooth, quasi-projective morphism of nonsingular varieties. In this case, the construction of the universal family that appears in [F-M] can be lifted to the relative context. Using the notation of section (1.3), we have an immersion:

$$F_B[n] \times_B F \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_B^r[n] \times_B \mathbf{P}_B^r.$$

Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_B^r[n]^+ & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{P}^r[n]^+ \times B \\ \downarrow \omega & & \downarrow \mu \times id \\ \mathbf{P}_B^r[n] \times_B \mathbf{P}_B^r & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{P}^r[n] \times \mathbf{P}^r \times B \end{array}$$

For $\omega = \mu \times id$, the Fulton-MacPherson construction of the universal family can be carried out uniformly over the base by extending the centers of the blow-ups resolving μ trivially over B . Define $F_B[n]^+$ to be the proper transform of $F_B[n] \times_B F$ under ω . We have:

$$v : F_B[n]^+ \rightarrow F_B[n] \times_B F$$

To show the space defined above, $F_B[n]^+$, has the desired geometrical properties, we argue as in the proof of Proposition 1. Let $(F_B[n] \times_B F)_j$ denote the j^{th} stage of the canonical sequence of blow-ups resolving v . Inductively, it is shown that for each $b \in B$ there is an isomorphism:

$$(6) \quad (F_b[n] \times F_b)_j \rightarrow (F_B[n] \times_B F)_{j,b}.$$

The $j = 0$ case is established by Proposition 1. The induction step follows from the claim made in the proof of Proposition 1 and the fact that for a nonsingular variety X , the canonical Fulton-MacPherson resolution of $X[n]^+ \rightarrow X[n] \times X$ involves only nonsingular centers.

We conclude that fiber $F_B[n]_b^+$ over $b \in B$ is naturally isomorphic to $F_b[n]^+$. It is clear that n sections σ_i exist for

$$\omega_p : \mathbf{P}_B^r[n]^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_B^r[n].$$

For each $b \in B$, these sections σ_i are compatible with the n natural sections of $F_b[n]^+ \rightarrow F_b[n]$. Therefore, via restriction, the σ_i yield n sections of

$$v_p : F_B[n]^+ \rightarrow F_B[n].$$

The fiber of $F_B[n]_\xi^+$ over $\xi \in F_B[n]$ is a n -pointed stable degeneration of $F_{\pi(\xi)}$. We have:

Proposition 2. *Suppose B is irreducible and $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a smooth, quasi-projective morphism of nonsingular varieties, then $F_B[n]^+$ along with v and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in N}$ is a universal family of n -pointed stable degenerations of F_B over $F_B[n]$.*

1.7. Final Note. Suppose $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ is a projective morphism, G is nonsingular, irreducible, B is nonsingular, π is flat, and for every $b \in B$ the fiber G_b is reduced. In this case, let $F \subset G$ be the open set where π is smooth. Using flatness and a tangent space calculation, we see:

$$F = \{\xi \in G \mid \xi \text{ is a nonsingular point of } G_{\pi(\xi)}\}$$

and $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a smooth, surjective morphism of nonsingular varieties. We know the space $F_B[n]$ is equipped with a universal family $F_B[n]^+$ obtained from $F_B[n] \times_B F$ by a sequence of canonical blow-ups. The problem with this universal family is that its fibers over $F_B[n]$ are n -pointed stable degenerations of F_B not G_B . This problem can easily be fixed. Note there is an open inclusion:

$$F_B[n] \times_B F \subset F_B[n] \times_B G.$$

It is the case that the centers of the blow-ups resolving

$$v : F_B[n]^+ \rightarrow F_B[n] \times_B F$$

are closed in $F_B[n] \times_B G$. Using the isomorphism (6) and the explicit description of the centers of blow-ups in [F-M], this closure is not hard to check. Hence, if the sequence of blow-ups is carried out over $F_B[n] \times_B G$ the desired family of n -pointed stable degenerations of G_B is obtained over $F_B[n]$. An n -pointed stable degeneration of a fiber G_b is as before with the additional condition that the marked points must lie over the smooth locus of G_b .

2. THE GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY SET-UP

2.1. Notation. Let $\overline{M}_g$ denote the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of nonsingular, genus g , projective curves, M_g . Let $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ denote the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of genus g curves with n marked points. There exists a natural projective morphism

$$\gamma : \overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g.$$

All these spaces are normal.

2.2. Gieseker's construction of $\overline{M}_g$. Fix an integer $g \geq 2$. Define:

$$d = 10 \cdot (2g - 2)$$

$$R = d - g.$$

Define the polynomial:

$$f(m) = d \cdot m - g + 1.$$

Note $f(m)$ is the Hilbert polynomial of a complete, genus g , 10-canonical curve in $\mathbf{P}^R$. Let $H_{f,R}$ denote the Hilbert scheme of the polynomial f in $\mathbf{P}^R$. If X is a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^R$ with Hilbert polynomial f , we denote the point of $H_{f,R}$ corresponding to X by $[X]$. It is well known that there exists an integer $\hat{m}$ such that, for any $m \geq \hat{m}$ and any closed subscheme $X \subset \mathbf{P}^R$ corresponding to a point $[X] \in H_{f,R}$,

$$(7) \quad h^1(I_X(m), \mathbf{P}^R) = 0$$

$$(8) \quad h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m), X) = f(m).$$

Therefore, for any $m \geq \hat{m}$, there is a natural map:

$$i_m : H_{f,R} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\bigwedge^{f(m)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^R}(m), \mathbf{P}^R)^*).$$

Where i_m is defined for each $[X] \in H_{f,R}$ as follows: by (7), there is a natural surjection

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^R}(m), \mathbf{P}^R) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m), X)$$

which yields, by (8), a surjection

$$(9) \quad \bigwedge^{f(m)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^R}(m), \mathbf{P}^R) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{f(m)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m), X) \cong \mathbf{C}.$$

The last surjection (9) is an element of $\mathbf{P}(\bigwedge^{f(m)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^R}(m), \mathbf{P}^R)^*)$. The map i_m is now defined on sets. That i_m is an algebraic morphism of schemes can be seen by constructing (9) uniformly over $H_{f,R}$ and using the universal property of $\mathbf{P}(\bigwedge^{f(m)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^R}(m), \mathbf{P}^R)^*)$. In fact, it can be shown there exists an integer $\overline{m}$ such that for every $m \geq \overline{m}$, i_m is a closed immersion.

From the universal property of the Hilbert scheme, we obtain a natural SL_{R+1} -action on $H_{f,R}$. For each $m \geq \overline{m}$, the closed immersion i_m defines a linearization of the natural SL_{R+1} -action on $H_{f,R}$. Define the following locus $\overline{K}_g \subset H_{f,R}$: $[X] \in \overline{K}_g$ if and only if X is a nondegenerate, 10-canonical, genus g , Deligne-Mumford stable curve in $\mathbf{P}^R$. $\overline{K}_g$ is a quasi-projective, SL_{R+1} -invariant subset. In [G], Gieseker shows a linearization i_m can be chosen satisfying:

- (i) The stable locus of the corresponding G.I.T. quotient contains $\overline{K}_g$.
- (ii) $\overline{K}_g$ is closed in the semistable locus.

From (i), we see $\overline{K}_g/SL_{R+1}$ is a geometric quotient. By (ii), $\overline{K}_g/SL_{R+1}$ is a projective variety. Since $\overline{K}_g$ is a nonsingular variety ([G]), it follows that $\overline{K}_g/SL_{R+1}$ is normal. From the definition of $\overline{K}_g$, the universal family over $H_{f,R}$ restricted to $\overline{K}_g$ is a family of Deligne-Mumford stable curves. Therefore there exists a natural map $\mu : \overline{K}_g \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$. Since μ is SL -invariant, μ descends to a projective morphism from the quotient $\overline{K}_g/SL_{R+1}$ to $\overline{M}_g$. Since μ is one to one and $\overline{M}_g$ is normal, μ is an isomorphism. Note that since $\overline{M}_g$ is irreducible, $\overline{K}_g$ is also irreducible.

2.3. The Relative n -pointed Fulton-MacPherson Configuration Space of the Universal Curve. Let $\pi : U_H \rightarrow H_{f,R}$ be the universal family over the Hilbert scheme defined in section (2.2) where π is a flat, projective morphism. Let $\overline{K}_g \subset H_{f,R}$ be defined as above. Let $U_{\overline{K}_g}$ be the restriction of U_H to $\overline{K}_g$. Following the notation of section (1.2), we define $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ to be the relative Fulton-MacPherson space of n -marked points on $U_{\overline{K}_g}$ over $\overline{K}_g$. From section (1.3), we see the immersion ζ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\overline{K}_g} & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \mathbf{P}^R \times H_{f,R} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \rho \\ \overline{K}_g & \longrightarrow & H_{f,R} \end{array}$$

yields another immersion $\zeta[n]$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\overline{K}_g}[n] & \xrightarrow{\zeta[n]} & \mathbf{P}^R[n] \times H_{f,R} \\ \downarrow \pi[n] & & \downarrow \rho[n] \\ \overline{K}_g & \longrightarrow & H_{f,R} \end{array}$$

There exists a natural SL_{R+1} -action on $\mathbf{P}^R[n]$ and therefore on $\mathbf{P}^R[n] \times H_{f,R}$. Since $U_{\overline{K}_g}$ is invariant under the natural SL_{R+1} -action, we see $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ is also SL_{R+1} -invariant. Since π is projective, $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n] \subset \rho[n]^{-1}(\overline{K}_g)$ is a closed subset. It follows

from (i) and (ii) of section (2.2) and Propositions (7.1.1) and (7.1.2) of [P] that there exist linearizations of the natural SL_{R+1} -action on $\mathbf{P}^R[n] \times H_{f,R}$ satisfying:

- (i) $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ is contained in the stable locus of the corresponding G.I.T. quotient.
- (ii) $(\rho[n]^{-1}(\overline{K}_g))^{SS}$ is closed in the semistable locus.

From (i), (ii), and the fact that $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ is closed in $\rho[n]^{-1}(\overline{K}_g)$, we see that $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]/SL_{R+1}$ is a geometric quotient and a projective variety. Define:

$$M_{g,n}^c = U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]/SL_{R+1}.$$

Note there is a natural projective morphism

$$\rho : M_{g,n}^c \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$$

descending from the SL_{R+1} -invariant maps:

$$U_{\overline{K}_g}[n] \rightarrow \overline{K}_g \rightarrow \overline{M}_g.$$

It follows easily that $M_{g,n}^c$ is a compactification of $\gamma^{-1}(M_g)$. To see this first make the definition:

$K_g = \{[X] \in H_{f,R} | X \text{ is a nondegenerate, 10-canonical, nonsingular, genus } g \text{ curve}\}.$

$U_{K_g}[n]$ is a dense open SL_{R+1} -invariant subset of $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$. Since the morphism $\pi : U_{K_g} \rightarrow K_g$ is smooth, we see from section (1.6) that there exists a universal family of Deligne-Mumford stable n -pointed genus g curves over $U_{K_g}[n]$. This universal family yields a canonical morphism

$$\mu : U_{K_g}[n] \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}(M_g).$$

It is easily checked that μ is SL_{R+1} -invariant. Therefore, μ descends to the open set, $\rho^{-1}(M_g)$, of $M_{g,n}^c$. One sees

$$\mu_d : \rho^{-1}(M_g) \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}(M_g)$$

is a bijection by Proposition (1) and the fact that, for a smooth curve C ,

$$(C[n]/\text{automorphisms}) \cong \gamma^{-1}([C]) \subset \gamma^{-1}(M_g).$$

(See section (1.5)). Since $\rho : \rho^{-1}(M_g) \rightarrow M_g$ is projective, $\gamma : \gamma^{-1}(M_g) \rightarrow M_g$ is separated, and $\rho = \gamma \circ \mu_d$, we conclude μ_d is projective. A bijective projective morphism onto a normal variety is an isomorphism. Since $\gamma^{-1}(M_g)$ is normal, μ_d is an isomorphism.

3. A DESCRIPTION OF $M_{g,n}^c$

3.1. Let $\pi : U_{\overline{K}_g} \rightarrow \overline{K}_g$ be as above. Following section (1.7), we define $F \subset U_{\overline{K}_g}$ to be the locus where π is smooth. $F_{\overline{K}_g}[n] \subset U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ is an open SL -invariant subset. The points of $F_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ parameterized n -pointed stable classes on the nonsingular locus of the fibers of π . There exists a universal family over $F_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ which defines an SL -invariant morphism :

$$\mu : F_{\overline{K}_g}[n] \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}^s.$$

Where $\overline{M}_{g,n}^s$ parameterizes n -pointed, genus g , Deligne-Mumford stable curves with marked points lying over nonsingular points of the contracted stable model. Let

$$F_{\overline{K}_g}[n]/SL_{R+1} = (M_{g,n}^c)^s.$$

SL -invariance implies μ descends to:

$$(10) \quad \mu_d : (M_{g,n}^c)^s \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}^s.$$

From the arguments of section (1.5), we see μ_d is bijective. From the valuative criterion, it follows μ_d is proper. As before, by normality, it follows that μ_d is an isomorphism.

3.2. Points Of $M_{g,n}^c$ Over A Singular Point. From section (3.1), it is clear only the behavior of $U_{\overline{K}_g}[n]$ over a singular point of $U_{\overline{K}_g}$ remains to be investigated. Since this is a local question about the the smooth deformation of a node, it suffices to investigate the family:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(C[x, y]) \times \text{Spec}(C[t]) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(C[t]) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Spec}(C[t]) \end{array}$$

Where G is defined by the equation $xy - t$. In the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space $\text{Spec}(C[x, y])[n]$, there is a closed subset $\mathcal{T}_n$ corresponding to the points lying over $(0, 0)$. In the notation of section (1.3),

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \rho^{-1}(\underbrace{(0, 0), (0, 0), \dots, (0, 0)}_n).$$

Recall the notation of section (1.2). Let $B = \text{Spec}(C[t])$, $B^* = \text{Spec}(C[t]) - (0)$, and $G^* = \pi^{-1}(B^*)$. We want to investigate the subset $\mathcal{W}_n \subset (\mathcal{T}_n, 0)$ that lies in the closure of $G_{B^*}^*[n]$ in $\text{Spec}(C[x, y])[n] \times B$.

Suppose κ is a family in $(G_{B^*}^{*N})_0$ where all the marked points specialize to the node ζ of G_0 . After a base change, $t \rightarrow t^r$, κ can be defined by n sections, $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$, of π in a neighborhood of $0 \in B$. The equation of G after base change is now $G_r = xy - t^r$. Let us take $r = 2$. The blow-up of G_2 at ζ is nonsingular and is

defined in an open set by the equation $ab - 1$ in $\text{Spec}(C[a, b]) \times \text{Spec}(C[t])$. The blow-down morphism is defined by the equations:

$$x = at$$

$$y = bt.$$

Now assume that the strict transforms of the sections, $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$, meet the exceptional curve $(ab = 1, t = 0)$ in distinct points $((a_1, a_1^{-1}), \dots, (a_n, a_n^{-1}))$, $\forall i \ a_i \neq 0$. Then it is clear that the n -pointed stable class in $\mathcal{T}_n$ that is the limit of κ is the class in the tangent space of $C[x, y]$ at $(0, 0)$ defined by the pairs of vectors:

$$((a_1, a_1^{-1}), \dots, (a_n, a_n^{-1}))$$

in the basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$.

We now define a map:

$$\theta_n : (C^{*N})_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$$

Where $\theta_n((a_1, \dots, a_n))$ is the n -pointed stable class defined by the tangent vectors $((a_1, a_1^{-1}), \dots, (a_n, a_n^{-1}))$. The preceding paragraph shows that $\text{Image}(\theta_n) \subset \mathcal{W}_n$. In fact, it is not hard to see that $\text{Image}(\theta_n)$ is dense in a component of $\mathcal{W}_n$. For $n = 2$, $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{T}_2$ where $\mathcal{T}_2$ is just the $\mathbf{P}^1$ of normal directions.

Suppose $n \geq 3$. Let $\hat{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\hat{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be distinct points of $(C^{*N})_0$. Then, $\theta_n(\hat{a}) = \theta_n(\hat{b})$ if and only if there exists a tangent vector (v_1, v_2) and an element $\lambda \in C^*$ such that :

$$\forall i, \quad \lambda \cdot a_i + v_1 = b_i \text{ and } \lambda \cdot a_i^{-1} + v_2 = b_i^{-1}.$$

These equations imply

$$(11) \quad \forall i, j, \quad \lambda \cdot (a_i - a_j) = (b_i - b_j)$$

$$(12) \quad \forall i, j, \quad \lambda \cdot (a_i^{-1} - a_j^{-1}) = (b_i^{-1} - b_j^{-1})$$

Dividing (11) by (12) yields $a_i \cdot a_j = b_i \cdot b_j$. For $n \geq 3$, we easily obtain $\hat{a} = \pm \hat{b}$. Therefore, a component of $\mathcal{W}_n$ can be viewed as a compactification of $(C^{*N})_0/(\pm)$. We note that the dimension of $\mathcal{W}_n$ is n for $n \geq 3$.

4. COMPARISON WITH $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ FOR $n = 1, 2$

4.1. $n = 1$. From the definitions, $M_{g,1}^c$ equals $U_{\overline{K}_g}/SL_{R+1}$. $\pi^*(U_{\overline{K}_g})$ is a family of 1-pointed Deligne-Mumford genus g curves over $U_{\overline{K}_g}$ via the natural diagonal section. This tautological family yields an SL -invariant morphism:

$$\mu : U_{\overline{K}_g} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,1}$$

that descends to

$$\mu_d : M_{g,1}^c \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,1}.$$

Since μ_d is proper bijective and $\overline{M}_{g,1}$ is normal, μ_d is an isomorphism.

4.2. $n = 2$. Consider the family:

$$(13) \quad U_{\overline{K}_g}^2 = U_{\overline{K}_g} \times_{\overline{K}_g} U_{\overline{K}_g}.$$

The singular locus of $U_{\overline{K}_g}^2$, S , is nonsingular of pure codimension 3 and SL_{R+1} -invariant. The singular points are pairs (ζ, ζ) where $\zeta \in U_{\overline{K}_g}$ is a node of a fiber. Moreover, the singularities of $U_{\overline{K}_g}^2$ are étale-locally ordinary threefold double point singularities. That is, the singularities are of the form

$$(14) \quad W \times \text{Spec}(C[a, b, c, d]/(ab - cd)) \subset W \times \text{Spec}(C[a, b, c, d])$$

Where W is nonsingular. These assertions about the singular locus follow from the deformation theory of a Deligne-Mumford stable curve and [G].

There are three standard resolutions of the ordinary double point singularity $\text{Spec}(C[a, b, c, d]/(ab - cd))$:

- (1) The blow-up along (a, b, c, d) .
- (2) For any $\lambda \in C$, the blow-up along $(a - \lambda \cdot c, \lambda \cdot b - d)$.
- (3) For any $\lambda \in C$, the blow-up along $(a - \lambda \cdot d, \lambda \cdot b - c)$.

Methods (2) and (3) yield the distinct small resolutions. The local description (14) implies that the blow-up of $U_{\overline{K}_g}^2$ along S is nonsingular with an exceptional divisor E that is a $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over S . Using the techniques of section (2.3), it can be shown that the natural SL_{R+1} -action on the blow-up $Bl_{(S)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2)$ can be linearized so that all the points in question are stable and the quotient is projective. The diagonal embedding

$$D : U_{\overline{K}_g} \hookrightarrow U_{\overline{K}_g}^2$$

is divisorial except along S where it is of the form of (2) and (3) in the local description (14). By definition,

$$M_{g,2}^c = Bl_{(D)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2) / SL_{R+1}.$$

There is a natural blow-down map:

$$\rho : Bl_{(S)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2) \rightarrow Bl_{(D)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2).$$

Another SL_{R+1} -invariant small resolution of $U_{\overline{K}_g}^2$ can be obtained by blowing-down uniformly along the opposite ruling of E blown-down by ρ . Let Y denote this other small resolution and let

$$\overline{\rho} : Bl_{(S)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2) \rightarrow Y$$

be the blow-down. Linearizations can be chosen so that

$$Y/SL_{R+1} \cong \overline{M}_{g,2}.$$

There are birational morphisms

$$(15) \quad M_{g,2}^c \leftarrow Bl_{(S)}(U_{\overline{K}_g}^2) / SL_{R+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,2}.$$

Consider the open loci of $M_{g,2}^c$ and $\overline{M}_{g,2}$ where the underlying curve has no (non-trivial) automorphism. On the automorphism free loci the birational modification (15) is easy to describe. Let $F_1 \subset M_{g,2}^c$ be the locus of 2-pointed stable classes that lie over a node in a Deligne-Mumford stable curve of genus g . Similarly, let $F_2 \subset \overline{M}_{g,2}$ be the locus of 2-pointed, genus g , Deligne-Mumford stable curves such that the marked points are coincident at a node in the stable contraction. On the automorphism free loci, $M_{g,2}^c$ and $\overline{M}_{g,2}$ are the distinct small resolution of the fiber product of the universal curve with itself. Hence, on the automorphism free loci, the blow-up of $M_{g,2}^c$ along F_1 is isomorphic to the blow-up of $\overline{M}_{g,2}$ along F_2 . The modification (15) obtained by this isomorphism.

REFERENCES

- [F-M] Fulton, W. and MacPherson, R. *A Compactification of Configuration Spaces*. Annals of Mathematics, 130 (1994), 183-225.
- [G] Gieseker, D. *Lectures on Moduli of Curves*. Tata Lecture Notes, Springer-Verlag: 1982.
- [P] Pandharipande, R. *A Compactification over $\overline{M}_g$ of the Universal Moduli Space of Slope-Semistable Vector Bundles*. JAMS (to appear).

Department of Mathematics
University of Chicago
5734 S. University Ave
Chicago, IL 60637
rahul@math.uchicago.edu